


Polymer Additive Analysis Study Using Tetrahydrofuran and Advanced Polymer Chromatography with Gradient Elution

Claudia Lohmann, Damian Morrison, and Jennifer Gough
 Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA



GOAL

To familiarize the scientist with the aggressive solvent compatible APC System using a gradient elution for the analysis of non-polar and polar polymer additives in one chromatographic result.

BACKGROUND

Polymer manufacturers rely on the timely analytical characterization of their raw materials. One aspect of polymer analysis is the qualification and quantification of polymer additives, such as Irganox 1010.¹ Analysis using legacy chromatographic methods and instrumentation can be time-consuming and tell only part of the story. However, transferring legacy high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) methods to a Waters™ ACQUITY™ Advanced Polymer Chromatography (APC™) System, with a Polymer Quaternary Solvent Manager (p-QSM) and an ACQUITY UPLC™ PDA (photodiode array) Detector, provides gradient elution of polymer additives using aggressive solvents like tetrahydrofuran (THF) quickly and without system modifications during installation. The addition of gradient elution capability to the APC System allows the baseline

The APC System, with a THF-compatible p-QSM, enables a gradient elution separation of polar and non-polar polymer additives in a mixture.




Figure 1. APC with p-QSM chromatographic overlay of Tinuvin 327 and 328.

separation of polymer additives, such as Tinuvin 327 and Tinuvin 328, previously known to co-elute using traditional separation methods (Figure 1).²

THE SOLUTION

The term polymer additives covers a wide variety of chemistries, and this experiment concentrates on two commonly used groups: polar and non-polar. A legacy polymer additive method is optimized using the column calculator to accommodate a change in column chemistry with scalability and wide chemical compatibility for this analysis (Figure 2).³ The first experiment is separating the known co-eluting Tinuvin 327 and 328 using the THF-compatible APC System with a p-QSM elution gradient pump (Figure 3). The second experiment is separating a mixture containing non-polar Irganox samples 1330, 1010, and 1076 and the comparatively polar Tinuvin samples 328, 327, and 360 (Figure 4).

Transferring a legacy polymer additive separation method to a new column is easily done using a column calculator tool. For the analysis of polymer additives, the legacy method is transferred to the APC System with p-QSM

for gradient elution using aggressive solvents, like THF. When entering the column choices, the ratio of column length to packing particle size (L/dp) is kept constant between methods. According to United States Pharmacopeia (USP) guidelines, using a constant L/dp allows the new method to be used without revalidation.⁴ The column calculator tool enables planning of the experiment, ordering columns, and consideration of system capabilities before entering the lab. Instrument capabilities, such as system pressures and solvent consumption, have an impact on safely performing analytical procedures.

SUMMARY

The differing chemistries of polymer additives can affect their solubility in traditional mobile phases. By using the APC and p-QSM with aggressive solvent compatibility, the separation of mixtures with a wide variety of solubilities is less of a challenge. The separation of co-eluting Tinuvin samples, using a gradient with 100% THF capability, allows for more flexibility of method optimization. Also, the separation method for non-polar and polar polymer additives in one mixture is optimized and scalable using the robust XBridge™ BEH Column technology.




Figure 2. Column calculator tool for transferring HPLC methods while maintaining L/dp.




Figure 3. XBridge Column chemistry reversing the peak order of Tinuvin 327 and 328.




Figure 4. Separating a mixture of polar and non-polar polymer additives in one method.

References

1. Bolgar, Michael; Hubball, Jack; Groeger, Joseph; Meronek, Susan; Handbook for the Chemical Analysis of Plastic and Polymer Additives, CRC Press, Boca Raton, U.S.A., 2016.
2. "Comparison of HPLC and UHPLC Analysis of Polymer Additives with Elucidation of Mass Detection", Waters Corp. U.S.A., 2017.
<https://www.waters.com/waters/library.htm?lid=134956804&cid=511436>.
3. Waters On-Line Tool Box, "Column Calculator Tool", <https://www.waters.com/waters/promotionDetail.htm?id=134694974&locale=101>.
4. United States Pharmacopeia (USP) general regulation <621>, <https://www.usp.org/frequently-asked-questions/chromatography>.

Waters

THE SCIENCE OF WHAT'S POSSIBLE.™

Waters, The Science of What's Possible, ACQUITY, UPLC, APC, and XBridge are trademarks of Waters Corporation. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

©2019 Waters Corporation. Produced in the U.S.A. October 2019 720006707EN TC-PDF

Waters Corporation
34 Maple Street
Milford, MA 01757 U.S.A.
T: 1 508 478 2000
F: 1 508 872 1990
www.waters.com