

# Determination of Chemical Contaminants in Marine Shellfish using the Agilent 7000 Triple Quadrupole GC/MS System

# Application note

Food Safety

# Abstract

A sample preparation method based on a modified QuEChERS extraction has been developed along with a GC/MS/MS method for the determination of selected Organo-chlorine pesticides, Polyaromatic hydrocarbons and Polychlorinated biphenyl congeners. The analytical method meets the detection limit requirements for the organic chemical contaminants in marine shellfish tissue (mussel) stipulated in the United Kingdom's Clean Seas Environmental Monitoring Program.

Chris Sandy Agilent Technologies UK Ltd 610 Wharfedale Road Winnersh Triangle Wokingham Berkshire RG41 5TP UK





Agilent Technologies

## Authors

Praveen Kutty, Anthony Gravell, Kathleen Thompson Centre for Analytical Research & Development National Laboratory Service Innovation Environment Agency Llanelli Carmarthenshire SA15 4EL UK

### Introduction

The Clean Seas Environmental Monitoring Program (CSEMP) is an initiative designed to monitor the levels of chemical contamination in the UK's coastal and estuarine areas. The major drivers for this program are

- To meet the mandatory monitoring requirements under Oslo and Paris Convention (OSPAR) Joint Assessment and Monitoring Program (JAMP).
- · Compliance with EC Directives.

The EC dangerous substance directive (76/464/EEC) requires the analysis of sediment or biota to determine the trend in the substances discharged. Organic compounds in Shellfish are also monitored to meet some requirements of Shellfish Water Directive (79/923/EEC), the Shellfish Hygiene Directive (91/492/EEC) and as amended by 97/61/EC, and Fisheries Products Directive (91/493/EEC) [1].

The program specifies 16 organo-chlorine compounds (OCPs), 28 polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and 7 polychlorinated biphenyl congeners (PCBs). The Limit of Detection (LoD) requirements are 0.1  $\mu$ g/Kg for OCPs and PCBs, and 0.5 – 1.0  $\mu$ g/Kg for PAHs.

An extraction method for these organic contaminants in marine shellfish tissue (mussel), based on a modified

QuEChERS [2], [3] extraction method, has been developed and the extracts from which were analysed by gas chromatography coupled to a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (GC-QQQ). The chromatographic method includes a post-column pressure controlled tee which facilitates post-column, postrun backflush in order to remove high boiling matrix components that would otherwise remain in the column between analyses and subsequently cause degradation of chromatographic performance and contamination of the mass spectrometer ion source. The effectiveness of post-column back flush has been demonstrated in a previously published Agilent application note [4].

### **Experimental**

### **Calibration Standards**

Calibration mixtures of native PAHs and isotope labelled PAH internal standards were obtained from SPEX Certiprep and Cambridge Isotopes, respectively. Custom made mixture for OCPs and PCB congeners were procured from LGC Promochem. PCB 155 and isotope labelled OCP internal standards were obtained from QMX and CDN Isotopes.

### **Sample Preparation**

2 g amounts of homogenized mussel tissue samples were extracted using a modified QuEChERS extraction method. The extraction and clean up workflow is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Flow diagram of the sample extraction and clean-up procedure.

#### **GC/MS/MS** Analysis

The analyses were performed on an Agilent 7890 GC / 7000 Triple Quadrupole GC/MS system. The 7890 Series GC was configured with a carbon dioxide cooled Multimode Inlet (MMI) and a 15 m  $\times$  0.25 mm id, 0.25 µm DB-5MSUI capillary column coupled to a 0.65 mm id  $\times$  0.15 mm id, 0.15 µm DB-5MSUI restrictor to the mass spectrometer via a capillary flow pressure controlled tee. A schematic diagram of the GC/MS/MS system configuration is shown in Figure 2.

The analytical column was operated in constant flow mode and the chromatography was retention time locked using PCB 118 as the locking compound at a retention time of 12.370 minutes. The pressure controlled tee was operated in constant pressure mode with helium controlled by a pneumatics control module (PCM).

An Agilent 7693A auto-liquid sampler with was employed and either 1  $\mu$ L cold splitless injections using a 10  $\mu$ L syringe (during GC/MS/MS method optimization) or 10  $\mu$ L solvent vent injections made using a 25  $\mu$ L syringe (for instrument calibration and sample analyses).



Figure 2. Schematic diagram of GC/MS/MS system configuration.

#### The GC instrument conditions are listed in Table 1.

The mass spectrometer was operated in electron impact ionization (EI) MS/MS mode using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) for all the analytes and their associated internal standards. Mass spectrometer operating conditions are given in Table 2 and the full list of analytes with their respective retention times, monitoring ion transitions, collision energies and, dwell times are shown in Table 3.

#### Table 1. GC Analysis Conditions

Column (1)	15 m × 0.25 mm id, 0.25 μm DB-5MSUI (122-5512UI)
Column (2)	0.65 m × 0.15 mm id, 0.15 μm DB-5MSUI (cut from 165-6626)
Injection mode (1)	1 μL cold pulsed splitless using CO <sub>2</sub> cooled Multimode Inlet (MMI) and a 10 μL syringe
Inlet temperature program	50 °C (0.05 min), 600 °C/min to 325 °C
Inlet pressure pulse	13.0 psig for 0.75 min
Purge Flow to Split Vent	50 mL/min at 1.0 min
Injection port liner	2 mm id, multi-baffled (5190-2296)
Injection mode (2)	10 $\mu L$ solvent vent using $\text{CO}_2$ cooled Multimode Inlet (MMI) and a 25 $\mu L$ syringe
Inlet temperature program	40 °C (0.31 min), 600 °C/min to 325 °C
Inlet Vent pressure	5.0 psig
Inlet vent flow	100 mL/min
Inlet vent time	0.31 min
Outlet pressure	0 psig
Injection speed	100 µL/min
Purge Flow to Split Vent	50 mL/min at 1.0 min
Injection port liner	2 mm id, multi-baffled (5190-2296)
Carrier Gas	Helium, constant flow 1.2 mL/min
Oven temp program	50 °C (1) - 20 - 200 °C/min (0) - 10 °C/min - 300 °C (1.5)
RTL Compound	PCB 118, locked at 12.370 min
Pressure controlled tee	G3186B, operated at 2.0 psig constant pressure
Back flush conditions	Inlet pressure 1.0 psig, PCM pressure 60 psig, time 2.0 min

#### Table 2. Mass Spectrometer Operating Conditions

MS Transfer line temp	325 °C
MS Source	300 °C
MS Quad 1 , 2 temp	150 °C , 150 °C
Collision cell gases	Nitrogen 1.5 mL/min, Helium 2.25 mL/min
MS1 / MS2 Resolution	Wide/wide
MRM settings	See Table 3
Electron energy	-70 eV
Ionization mode	Electron impact (EI)
El Autotune	Gain normalized
Gain factor	5

то	<b>T</b> :	A	KI (min)	D	Duralizat	Dwell	05(1)	D	Duralizat	Dwell	05 (1/)
12	Time	Analyte	(min)	Precursor	Product	(ms)	GE(V)	Precursor	Product	(ms)	UE(V)
1	4.0	d3-135-TCB	5.050	182.9	147.9	25	35	182.9	110.9	25	35
		135-TCB	5.068	179.9	144.9	25	35	179.9	108.9	25	35
		d8-Napthalene	5.479	136.0	108.0	25	25				
		Naphthalene	5.504	128.0	102.0	25	22	128.0	127.0	25	20
		HCBD	5.658	224.9	189.9	25	22	224.9	187.9	25	22
2	6.8	d8-Acenapthylene	7.308	160.0	132.0	25	30	160.0	108.0	25	30
		Acenaphthylene	7.321	152.0	151.0	25	40	152.0	150.0	25	40
		d10-Acenapthene	7.494	164.0	162.0	25	30	164.0	160.0	25	30
		Acenaphthene	7.525	154.0	152.0	25	40	153.0	152.0	25	40
3	7.8	d10-Eluorene	8 099	176.0	174 0	15	30				
•		Fluorene	8 1 3 1	166.0	165.0	15	30				
		d6-HCH - alpha	8.699	224.0	187.0	15	15	224.0	150.0	15	15
		HCH - alpha	8.730	181.0	145.0	15	15	181.0	109.0	15	30
		НСВ	8.770	283.9	248.8	15	25	283.9	213.9	15	35
		HCH- beta	8.990	181.0	145.0	15	15	181.0	109.0	15	30
		d6-HCH- gamma	9.077	224.0	187.0	15	15	224.0	150.0	15	15
		HCH - gamma	9.107	218.8	183.0	15	5	181.0	109.0	15	30
		Dibenzothiophene	9.110	184.0	152.0	15	40	184.0	139.0	15	40
		d10-Phenanthrene	9.274	188.0	184.0	15	40	188.0	160.0	15	40
		Phenanthrene	9.299	178.0	176.0	15	34				
		Anthracene	9.367	178.0	176.0	15	34				
		HCH - delta	9.428	181.0	145.0	15	15	181.0	109.0	15	30
4	9.6	PCB 28	9 820	256.0	186.0	20	26	258.0	186.0	20	26
·	010	PCB 52	10,250	289.9	220.0	20	28	291.9	222.0	20	28
		Aldrin	10.480	298.0	263.0	20	8	263.0	191.0	20	30
		Isodrin	10.880	262.9	193.0	20	35	262.9	191.0	20	35
5	11.0	d10 Eluoranthono	11 103	212.0	210.0	15	45	212.0	208.0	15	15
J	11.0	Eluoranthono	11.105	2020	201.0	15	40 20	2020	200.0	15	45 50
			11.120	202.0	201.0	15	28	202.0	200.0	15	28
			11 375	248.0	176.0	15	20	246.0	200.0	15	20
		PCB 101	11 437	272.0	253.0	15	28	275.0	255.9	15	28
		d10-Pyrene	11 486	212.0	233.3	15	45	212.0	203.0	15	45
		Pyrene	11 512	202.0	201.0	15	30	202.0	200.0	15	45
		i yielle	11.012	202.0	201.0	15	50	202.0	200.0	15	TU

Table 3. MS/MS Settings for OCPs, PAHs, PCB Congeners and Labelled Internal Standards

TS	Time	Analyte	RT (min)	Precursor	Product	Dwell (ms)	CE(V)	Precursor	Product	Dwell (ms)	CE(V)
5		pp-DDE C13-Dieldrin Dieldrin op-DDD	11.857 11.933 11.940 11.956	248.0 269.8 262.8 237.0	176.0 200.0 193.0 165.0	15 15 15 15	30 40 30 20	246.0 269.8 262.8 235.0	211.0 198.0 191.0 200.0	15 15 15 15	20 40 30 8
6	12.15	Endrin PCB 118 (RTL compound) pp-DDD op-DDT PCB 153 C13-pp-DDT pp-DDT PCB 138	12.265 12.370 12.500 12.543 12.698 13.091 13.099 13.112	281.0 323.9 237.0 237.0 357.8 247.0 237.0 357.8	245.0 253.9 165.0 165.0 287.9 177.0 165.0 287.9	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	20 28 20 20 28 20 20 20 28	263.0 325.9 235.0 235.0 359.8 247.0 235.0 359.8	193.0 255.9 199.1 199.1 289.9 211.0 199.1 289.9	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	35 28 8 20 28 20 20 20 20 28
7	13.5	Benzo[a]anthracene d12-Chrysene Chrysene / Triphenylene PCB 180	13.897 13.915 13.965 14.175	228.0 240.0 228.0 393.8	226.0 236.0 226.0 323.9	40 40 40 40	38 35 38 30	395.8	325.9	40	30
8	15.0	Benzo[b+j]fluoranthene d12-Benzo[k]fluoranthene Benzo[k]fluoranthene Benzo[e]pyrene d12-Benzo[a]pyrene Benzo[a]pyrene Perylene	16.06 16.084 16.116 16.561 16.616 16.654 16.814	252.0 264.0 252.0 252.0 264.0 252.0 252.0	250.0 260.0 250.0 250.0 260.0 250.0 250.0	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	42 40 42 42 40 42 42 42	250.0 250.0 250.0 250.0 250.0	248.0 248.0 248.0 248.0 248.0	75 75 75 75 75	40 40 40 40 40
9	18.0	d12-Indeno[123-cd]pyrene Indeno(123-cd)pyrene d14-Dibenz[a,h]anthracene Dibenz[a,h]anthracene d12-Benzo[g,h,i]perylene Benzo[ghi]perylene	18.600 18.631 18.662 18.712 19.020 19.064	288.0 276.0 292.0 278.0 288.0 276.0	284.0 274.0 288.0 276.0 284.0 274.0	75 75 75 75 75 75 75	50 42 50 38 45 38				

 Table 3.
 MS/MS Settings for OCPs, PAHs, PCB Congeners and Labelled Internal Standards (Continued)

# **Results and Discussion**

### Chromatography

The total ion chromatogram (TIC) for all MRM transitions of all analytes is shown in Figure 3. For additional clarity, labelled TIC MRM chromatograms of the OCPs, PAHs and PCB congeners are shown in Figures 4, 5, and 6, respectively.



Figure 3. TIC MRM Chromatogram for a calibration standard.



Figure 4. TIC MRM Chromatogram for OCP analytes.



Figure 5. TIC MRM Chromatogram for PAH analytes.

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 6. TIC MRM Chromatogram for PCB congeners.

#### Analyte calibration curves

The GC-MS/MS system was calibrated using a five-point internal standard (ISTD) calibration. The calibration standards for target analytes were prepared in hexane at concentrations of 0.4, 2.0, 8.0, 80.0, and 200.0 pg/ $\mu$ L. All ISTDs were added at 80.0 pg/ $\mu$ L. Calibration curves were created using 10  $\mu$ L solvent vent mode injections. The calibration curves for all analytes gave correlation coefficients greater than 0.999. Table 4 shows curve fit types and correlation coefficient values.

 
 Table 4.
 Curve Fits and Correlation Coefficients for ISTD Calibration Curves

Analyte	Curve fit	R <sup>2</sup>
HCBD	Quadratic	0.9994
a-HCH	Linear	0.9996
HCB	Linear	0.9998
b-HCH	Linear	0.9995
g-HCH	Linear	0.9999
d-HCH	Linear	0.9991
Aldrin	Quadratic	0.9999
Isodrin	Quadratic	0.9999
op-DDE	Linear	0.9998
p,p-DDE	Linear	0.9993
Dieldrin	Quadratic	0.9992
op-DDD	Quadratic	0.9999
Endrin	Linear	0.9997
pp-DDD	Linear	0.9997
o,p-DDT	Linear	0.9992
p,p-DDT	Linear	0.9995
Napthalene	Linear	0.9997
Acenapthylene	Linear	0.9997
Acenapthene	Linear	0.9999
Fluorene	Linear	0.9997
Dibenzothiophene	Quadratic	0.9999
Phenanthrene	Linear	0.9999
Anthracene	Linear	0.9997
Fluoranthene	Linear	0.9992
Pyrene	Linear	0.9996
Benzo[a]anthracene	Linear	0.9998
Chrysene+Triphenylene	Quadratic	0.9999
Benzo[b+j]fluoranthene	Linear	0.9998
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	Quadratic	0.9997
Benzo[e]pyrene	Linear	0.9996
Benzo[a]pyrene	Linear	0.9998
Perylene	Linear	0.9999
Indeno[123-cd]pyrene	Quadratic	0.9996
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	Quadratic	0.9999
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	Quadratic	0.9997
PCB 28	Linear	0.9998
PCB 52	Linear	0.9998
PCB 101	Linear	0.9999
PCB 118	Linear	0.9996
PCB 153	Linear	0.9998
PCB 138	Linear	0.9998
PCB 180	Linear	0.9994

Example calibration graphs over the range of interest for g-HCH, PCB 118 and Benzo[a]pyrene are shown in Figure 7.

![](_page_7_Figure_5.jpeg)

Figure 7. Five point ISTD calibration curves for g-HCH (Top), PCB 118 (middle) and Benzo[a]pyrene (bottom).

# Recovery of target analytes and Quantitative Reproducibility

Five sample aliquots (2g) from homogenized mussel tissue were weighed into QuEChERS extraction tubes. The samples were spiked with an acetone solution of target analytes at a level equivalent to 4  $\mu$ g/Kg (8 ng/2g sample) and internal standards. The extraction tubes were then vortex mixed for 1 minute and the samples were extracted using the procedure given in Figure 1.

Relative percent standard deviations (RSD%) and Spike Recoveries (Recovery %) were calculated for each of the target analytes as given below;

$$RSD\% = \frac{SD}{Mean} \times 100$$

Recovery 
$$\% = \frac{Mean(spiked)}{[Mean(unspiked) + Spike]} \times 100$$

where SD is the Standard Deviation.

The list of target analytes, grouped by chemical class (OCPs, PAHs and PCBs) plus their associated internal standards, quantitative reproducibility values and percentage recovery values are shown in Table 5. Percent recovery values for the OCPs, PAHs and PCB congeners from spiked mussel tissue are also shown graphically in Figure 8, (a), (b) and (c), respectively.

#### issue amples <mark>Analyte ISTD</mark> es at a HCBD d3-135-TCB ernal a-HCH d6-g-HCH HCB d6-a-HCH HCB d6-a-HCH ed for b-HCH PCB-155

Table 5.

нсвр	d3-135-1CB	11.1	85.4
а-НСН	d6-g-HCH	3.9	115.1
НСВ	d6-a-HCH	13.3	92.0
b-HCH	PCB-155	7.0	116.8
g-HCH	d6-g-HCH	2.3	114.1
d-HCH	PCB-155	6.7	123.9
Aldrin	PCB-155	15.8	108.9
Isodrin	PCB-155	13.9	108.7
op-DDE	PCB-155	3.5	120.4
p,p-DDE	PCB-155	4.8	121.5
Dieldrin	<sup>13</sup> C-Dieldrin	4.0	93.4
op-DDD	PCB-155	4.0	119.9
Endrin	<sup>13</sup> C-Dieldrin	7.7	112.7
pp-DDD	<sup>13</sup> C-pp-DDT	6.1	101.6
o,p-DDT	<sup>13</sup> C-pp-DDT	3.5	104.1
p,p-DDT	<sup>13</sup> C-pp-DDT	1.1	100.0
Napthalene	d8-Napthalene	3.7	107.7
Acenapthylene	d8-Acenapthylene	7.4	98.5
Acenapthene	d10-Acenapthene	5.0	102.5
Fluorene	d10-Fluorene	8.4	100.9
Dibenzothiophene	d10-Fluorene	8.5	105.6
Phenanthrene	d10-Phenanthrene	7.8	102.6
Anthracene	d10-Phenanthrene	5.6	100.2
Fluoranthene	d10-Fluoranthene	0.9	101.0
Pyrene	d10-Pyrene	7.0	92.3
Benzo[a]anthracene	d12-Chrysene	4.1	103.5
Chrysene+Triphenylene	d12-Chrysene	1.1	104.5
Benzo[b+j]fluoranthene	d12-Benzo[k]fluoranthene	24.0	107.7
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	d12-Benzo[k]fluoranthene	5.4	104.1
Benzo[e]pyrene	d12-Benzo[a]pyrene	1.6	105.0
Benzo[a]pyrene	d12-Benzo[a]pyrene	3.3	102.9
Perylene	d12-Benzo[a]pyrene	1.1	106.4
Indeno[123-cd]pyrene	d14-Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	2.8	94.9
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	d14-Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	2.4	103.0
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	d14-Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	4.8	103.1
PCB 28	PCB-155	3.9	105.5
PCB 52	PCB-155	3.0	105.8
PCB 101	PCB-155	3.5	112.3
PCB 118	PCB-155	6.1	107.0
PCB 153	PCB-155	3.6	107.6
PCB 138	PCB-155	4.5	109.9
PCB 180	PCB-155	4.8	110.1

Target Analytes, Their Associated ISTDs, RSD% Values for Quantitative Reproducibility and Recovery% Values

RSD%

[n=5]

**Recovery%** 

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 8. Graphical representation of analyte percent recovery values for (a) OCPs, (b) PAHs and (c) PCB congeners in spiked mussel tissue.

#### **Sample Analysis**

Marine mussel samples were sourced from local commercial shell fish suppliers, homogenized, extracted and analysed using the sample preparation and GC/MS/MS conditions as described. MRM chromatograms for the incurred HCH isomers quantified in a mussel sample are shown in Figure 9, the incurred Fluoranthene and Pyrene PAHs in Figure 10 and, the incurred PCB 180 congener in Figure 11, respectively.

### Conclusion

A sample preparation method based on a modified QuEChERS extraction and clean up regime has been developed and applied to the extraction of OCPs, PAHs and PCB congeners from marine mussel tissue. The quantitative GC/MS/MS method demonstrated good reproducibility and recoveries for all analytes were in the range of 85.4% – 123.9% in spiked mussel tissue.

The Agilent 7000 Triple Quadrupole GC/MS system provided reproducible and sensitive detection of OCPs, PAHs and PCB congeners in mussel tissue down to concentration levels of 0.1  $\mu$ g/Kg. The performance of the extraction/clean-up and analysis by GC/MS/MS meets the requirements of the CSEMP legislation.

![](_page_10_Figure_5.jpeg)

Figure 9. MRM Chromatograms for (i) incurred a-HCH and (ii) incurred g-HCH in mussel sample, Concentrations 0.06 and 0.30 μg/Kg, respectively. Peaks (iii) and (iv) are traces of incurred b-HCH and d-HCH, respectively.

![](_page_10_Figure_7.jpeg)

Figure 10. MRM Chromatograms for (i) incurred Fluoranthene and (ii) incurred Pyrene in mussel sample, Concentrations 8.64 and 5.83 μg/Kg, respectively.

![](_page_10_Figure_9.jpeg)

Figure 11. MRM Chromatograms for incurred PCB 180 in mussel sample, Concentration 0.14 μg/Kg.

## References

- 1. Clean Seas Environmental Monitoring Program GREEN BOOK, Marine Assessment and Review Group (MARG) UK.
- Rapid sample preparation procedure for the simultaneous determination of PCBs, PBDEs and PAHs in fish. J Hajslova et al. Accessed from www.xcdtech.com on 14.01.2011.
- Development of a simple extraction and clean-up procedure for determination of organo-chlorine pesticides in soil using gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. A. Rashid et al. Journal of Chromatography A, 1217 (2010) 2933-2939.
- 4. Improving GC-MS Method Robustness and Cycle Times Using Capillary Flow Technology and Back flushing, Agilent application note 5990-3367EN, January 2009.

### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge the considerable assistance given by Dr Joan Stevens, Sample Preparation Applications Scientist, Chemistries and Supplies Division, Agilent Technologies Inc., USA, during the development of the sample extraction and clean up procedure.

### **For More Information**

For more information on our products and services, visit our Web site at www.agilent.com/chem.

#### www.agilent.com/chem

Agilent shall not be liable for errors contained herein or for incidental or consequential damages in connection with the furnishing, performance, or use of this material.

Information, descriptions, and specifications in this publication are subject to change without notice.

© Agilent Technologies, Inc., 2011 Printed in the USA April 6, 2011 5990-7714FN

![](_page_11_Picture_13.jpeg)

**Agilent Technologies**