

Reliable Determination of Food Additives in Baby Food Using UHPLC Combined with Tandem Mass Spectrometry



## Abstract

This application note describes a reliable and sensitive method for routine monitoring of four commonly used food additives including coumarin, vanillin, and methylated and ethylated vanillins in baby food. The LC/MS/MS method described delivered excellent linearity of quantitation over a concentration range of 50 to  $5,000 \mu g/kg$ . The limit of detection (LOD) and the limit of quantitation (LOQ) for each analyte were 10 and 50  $\mu g/kg$ , respectively. Spiking recovery in baby formula, baby cereal powder, and milk at 50, 100, and 500  $\mu g/kg$  ranged from 90.7% to 98.5% with RSD below 10% (n = 6). These results suggest that the method is accurate, precise, reliable, and can be used for routine monitoring of these additives in the baby food matrices.

#### Authors

Wenxuan Yuan, Guoyin Lai, Dunming Xu, and Zhigang Zhang Xiamen Customs Technique Center Meiling Lu

Agilent Technologies (China) Co., Ltd.

# Introduction

Coumarin and vanillin, including alkylated vanillins, are two important classes of man-made food additives that are widely applied in the food industry to improve food taste characteristics. However, these food additives may induce potential heath effects, particularly for young babies. Hence, many countries strictly regulate these types of food additives.<sup>1,2</sup> In China, no food additive is allowed in baby food for babies under six months old according to GB 2760-2016.<sup>3</sup> Hence, a sensitive and reliable method is required to determine these food additives in baby food for routine monitoring in the market to ensure baby food safety. This study develops and evaluates an SPE extraction and

cleanup followed with an LC/MS/MS analysis method in three types of baby food matrices: baby formula, baby cereal powder, and milk.

# **Experimental**

#### **Chemicals and Reagent**

Standard compounds including coumarin, vanillin, methylated and ethylated vanillin were selected for monitoring, and their isotopically labeled analogs were selected for internal standard dilution calibration. LC/MS grade methanol and Milli-Q water were used to prepare mobile phase. Formic acid, ammonia, and ammonium acetate were HPLC grade, and all other reagents were analytical grade.

# Method

Baby food samples including baby formula, baby cereal powder, and milk were collected from local vendors. The sample was prepared following the flowchart below.

#### Sample preparation procedure



#### Instrument conditions

| LC Conditions                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Agilent 1290 Infinity II UHPLC<br>System | 1290 Infinity II binary pump with degasser     1290 Infinity II autosampler with needle seat backflush function     1290 Infinity II multiple column compartment |  |  |  |  |
| Column                                   | Agilent ZORBAX Eclipse Plus RRHD C18, 2.1 × 100 mm, 1.8 μm   |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile Phases                            | A) 0.1% Formic acid in water<br>B) Acetonitrile  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Rate                                | 0.15 mL/min  |  |  |  |  |
| Column Temperature                       | 30 °C  |  |  |  |  |
| Injection Volume                         | 10.0 μL  |  |  |  |  |
| Post Time                                | 3 minutes  |  |  |  |  |
| Gradient                                 | Time (min)     %A     %B       0     55     45       5     40     60       5.01     10     90       8     10     90  |  |  |  |  |
| MS Conditions                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| MS                                       | Agilent 6470 QQQ   |  |  |  |  |
| Ion Source                               | Agilent Jet Stream ESI   |  |  |  |  |
| Ionization Mode                          | Positive   |  |  |  |  |
| Capillary Voltage                        | 4,000 V  |  |  |  |  |
| Nozzle Voltage                           | 600 V  |  |  |  |  |
| Nebulizer Gas (N <sub>2</sub> ) Pressure | 50 psi   |  |  |  |  |
| Drying Gas (N <sub>2</sub> ) Temperature | 300 °C   |  |  |  |  |
| Drying Gas Flow Rate                     | 8 L/min  |  |  |  |  |
| Sheath Gas (N <sub>2</sub> ) Temperature | 350 °C   |  |  |  |  |
| Sheath Gas Flow Rate                     | 10 L/min   |  |  |  |  |
| Acquisition Mode                         | MRM  |  |  |  |  |

## **Results and discussion**

The target analytes can easily be protonated under acidic conditions; hence, MRM under positive ESI ionization mode was selected. The corresponding MRM acquisition parameters for the analytes and their isotopically labeled internal standards (ILIS) were then optimized to achieve high sensitivity and selectivity for each analyte. Table 1 lists the resulting acquisition parameters. By using 0.1% formic acid/acetonitrile as the binary mobile phase and a C18 column under the optimized gradient elution, the four analytes can be eluted out of the column within seven minutes. Methylated and ethylated vanillin are one pair of isomers, and cannot be separated by baseline. Nonetheless, each isomer has specific fragment ions, which allows selective detection and accurate guantitation of individual ones. Figure 1 shows the typical MRM chromatograms for the analytes and the corresponding ILISs.

 
 Table 1. Optimized MRM parameters for sensitive and selective detection of the analytes (\* quantitation ion).

| Analyte           | Retention<br>Time (min) | Precursor Ion<br>(m/z) | Frag. Voltage<br>(V) | Product Ion<br>(m/z) | CE<br>(V) |
|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| D2 Venillin       | 4.40                    | 156.2                  | 106                  | 93                   | 17        |
| DS-Varinin        |                         |                        |                      | 65*                  | 29        |
| Vanillin          | 4.40                    | 153                    | 111                  | 93.2                 | 13        |
|                   |                         |                        |                      | 65.2*                | 29        |
| DE Ethylyconillin | 5.35                    | 172                    | 101                  | 112                  | 13        |
| DS-Ethylvannin    |                         |                        |                      | 93.1*                | 21        |
| Ethylvanillin     | 5.35                    | 167.1                  | 111                  | 110.9                | 13        |
|                   |                         |                        |                      | 92.9*                | 17        |
| D3-Methylvanillin | 5.56                    | 170.2                  | 106                  | 142.1*               | 13        |
|                   |                         |                        |                      | 127                  | 21        |
| Methylvanillin    | 5.56                    | 167.1                  | 123                  | 139*                 | 13        |
|                   |                         |                        |                      | 124.1                | 21        |
| D4-Coumarin       | 6.08                    | 151.1                  | 122                  | 107.1                | 21        |
|                   |                         |                        |                      | 95.1*                | 29        |
| Coumarin          | 6.00                    | 147                    | 117                  | 103                  | 17        |
|                   | 0.08                    |                        |                      | 91.1*                | 30        |



Figure 1. Extracted MRM chromatograms for the four food additives (top) and their corresponding internal isotopic analogs (IS, bottom).

# Sample preparation optimization and method performance

Three types of acidified solvents were investigated for sample extraction. As shown in Figure 2, acidified acetonitrile showed the highest recovery for each analyte among the three selected solvents including acetonitrile, ether, and ethanol under acidic conditions, and was selected as the extraction solvent. The amount of acid was also examined for each food matrix, using baby cereal powder as an example. Figure 3 shows that the addition of 40 µL of HCI exhibited the highest recovery for each analyte. Other parameters for extraction and cleanup were also carefully optimized to maximize recovery. The optimized sample extraction and cleanup procedure is shown in the Method section.

We evaluated the method performance using the optimized sample preparation method. Using the ILIS dilution calibration, it was found that all analytes showed excellent linearity in the range of 50 to 5,000  $\mu$ g/kg, with R<sup>2</sup> higher than 0.9995 (Table 2). The LOD and LOQ for each compound in each matrix were 10 and 50  $\mu$ g/kg, respectively.



Figure 2. Effect of different solvents on extraction efficiency.



Figure 3. Effect of acid volume on extraction efficiency.

| Table 2. Linearity | , LOD, and LO | Q of the method. |
|--------------------|---------------|------------------|
|--------------------|---------------|------------------|

| Compound       | Calibration Equation          | R <sup>2</sup> | Linear Range<br>(µg/L) | LOD<br>(µg/kg) | LOQ<br>(µg/kg) |
|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Vanillin       | y = 0.009664x - 2.789625E-004 | 0.99978        | 50 to 5,000            | 10             | 50             |
| Ethylvanillin  | y = 0.046100x - 5.051333E-004 | 0.99962        | 50 to 5,000            | 10             | 50             |
| Methylvanillin | y = 0.037052x - 4.161242E-004 | 0.99966        | 50 to 5,000            | 10             | 50             |
| Coumarin       | y = 0.050603x - 8.280931E-005 | 0.99962        | 50 to 5,000            | 10             | 50             |

The method recovery and precision were evaluated by analyzing samples spiked at  $1 \times$ ,  $2 \times$ , and  $5 \times$  LOQ levels in three matrices with six replicates each. Figure 4 shows that the recoveries at all levels in all matrices ranged from 81.7% to 102%, with %RSD below 10%, suggesting that this method is reliable.

## Conclusion

This study demonstrates a reliable UHPLC-MS/MS method for the determination of four common additives in baby formula, baby cereal powder, and milk. The method has excellent linearity, accuracy, and precision, and should prove useful in the routine monitoring of these additives in baby food.

В

## References

- US Food and Drug Administration. 21 CFR 189.130 - Coumarin. 2002.
- 2. The European Parliament and the Council. Regulation (EC) No. 1334/2008.
- China GB 2760-2016 on National Standard on Food Safety-the Standard for Usage of Food Additives.







Figure 4. Recoveries and precisions for the additives spiked into three matrices at three levels (n = 6). A) Baby formula; B) Baby cereal powder; C) Milk.

#### www.agilent.com/chem

DE.4549652778

This information is subject to change without notice.

© Agilent Technologies, Inc. 2020 Printed in the USA, March 27, 2020 5994-1675EN

