

Third Party Instrument Control with Chromeleon Chromatography Data System (CDS) Software

Executive Summary

Over the last few decades chromatographic instrumentation and separations have become mainstream techniques for most laboratories. The main techniques are Gas Chromatography (GC) and High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC). All these chromatographic instruments are now operated using a Chromatography Data System (CDS) for instrument control and data handling, which has developed significantly over the last three decades. Thermo Scientific™ Dionex™ Chromeleon™ Chromatography Data (CDS) System software was a pioneer of third party instrument control and has continued to be the market leader with multivendor control and provides Operational Simplicity.™

Keywords

Chromatography data system, CDS, Chromeleon, multi vendor, instrument control, GC-MS, LC-MS, LC, IC, GC, driver development kit (DDK)

Instrument Control in Chromatography Data Systems

The history of instrument control in chromatography data systems can be traced back to the development of the personal computer (PC) in the early 1980s which led to the introduction of PC based CDS. Operation was similar to the microprocessor-based integrators introduced in the 1970s, but data files from all injections of a run were now available for reprocessing. At this time data collection from any instrument was only possible through conversion of the instrument data from the analogue output signal to a digital signal that could be read by the CDS (A/D conversion). This A/D conversion only allowed the recording of a single data channel from the instrument and did not cover instrument control at all.




Figure 1. Early CDS - data collection with no control.

As higher-performance PCs became more affordable, manufacturers were able to add instrument control in addition to their existing data processing and reporting tools. Even so, for the next decade, CDS were only capable of full digital control of instruments that were supplied by the same manufacturer as the CDS so it was common for one vendor to supply both the CDS and the chromatographic equipment with the majority having a connection of only a single chromatograph per PC. As CDS were further developed, the ability to control multiple instruments from one PC appeared albeit with the same 'single manufacturer' restriction. This type of installation can be described as a "workstation CDS".



Commonly laboratories have always had a variety of chromatographic instruments from different manufacturers. With the single manufacturer workstation CDS approach this led to the installation of several different CDS in the laboratory with inherent efficiency, data handling, training, validation and regulatory complications. The only way to reduce the number of CDS was to use A/D conversion to create a digital signal that could be read and imported by the chosen CDS(s) and relinquish control of the instruments with the fundamental problems of over-ranging or ‘flat-topping’ of peaks, excess noise, and a complete lack of traceability.




Figure 2. Workstation CDS for a variety of chromatographic instruments.

Through the 1990s advances in PCs combined with improved networking standards, this allowed for CDS to become networked. When networked, data handling becomes more efficient and instrument utilization can be optimized. In addition it is easier to meet regulatory guidelines because it allows the physical decoupling of data handling from data storage, thus increasing security of the acquired data. These networked CDS, with decoupled data handling and storage, are often referred to as “enterprise” or “client/server” systems.

With one method, all data from instruments in the same format, and one common report for results regardless of the instrument that was used to generate them, the efficiency gains and productivity increases from using a scalable, enterprise CDS were huge.

Establishing Demand for Multivendor Instrument Control

With the increasing adoption of enterprise CDS configurations the de facto linking of the instrument and CDS manufacturer started to erode. Some suppliers started to focus on the development of CDS solutions while others emphasized instrument development. Chromatographic instrument suppliers with a smaller market share and/or with no interest in developing their own CDS started to look for non-competing, software-only suppliers of CDS and supported them in achieving control for their instruments in the data system. Conversely, as CDS suppliers saw their products become more and more important to the efficient operation of chromatographic laboratories, the control of instruments from other major suppliers (third party instruments) became an attractive market opportunity. Thus the desire for control of third party instruments (multi-vendor control) arose in CDS.

While, at first, the benefits of central data management and storage initially outweighed the inherent limitations in A/D conversion of data from third party instruments, the mandate from the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1997 for electronic records and electronic signatures (21 CFR Part 11) clearly mandated such instrument control (or lack of it) as not in line with compliance guidelines.

This mandate established a need for third party instrument control in CDS and the demand for fully digital control of proprietary and third party instruments became pressing. The market need for data systems that supported compliance with 21 CFR Part 11 with fully digital instrument control also led to a sharp consolidation in the market place resulting in only three global CDS suppliers having a significant share (>10% installed base and annual license sales) in the market. Given that there are many more instrument suppliers with a relevant market share (>5%) than suppliers of enterprise CDS the necessity for third party instrument control becomes obvious.

1993 saw the introduction of the world’s first commercially available chromatography data system – Gynkrosoft now sold under the brand name Chromeleon CDS. This was the first CDS solution providing full digital control of instruments from leading third party suppliers.

By the late 1990s it was still rare for a CDS to have significant third party control. During this period, a CDS could commonly control its own manufacturers instruments, be able to set up methods and analytical run information, acquire, process, report and save the data, and even transmit it to other laboratory systems such as a Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS). At this time Chromeleon CDS already provided support for over 60 third party instruments with more instruments being added.

With feasibility for digital third party instrument control proven, the interest in CDS with multivendor instrument control grew rapidly. Chromeleon CDS established itself as the market leader in multi-vendor instrument control, capable controlling over 540 proprietary and third party modules from 25 different manufacturers, ensuring that nowadays multivendor instrument control is a standard ‘must have’ function for any CDS.




Figure 3. Client/server or enterprise CDS with multivendor instrument control.

From Early Adopters to Mainstream Market: Overcoming the Challenges

While laboratories quickly adopted third party instrument control with CDS, a number of challenges had to be overcome before third party control was mature enough to really become accepted broadly.

The first challenge was that the earliest third party controls were developed by CDS companies based on limited information and mainly focused on covering only the general commands for controlling the third party instruments. This also required the programmers to write a driver for an instrument without a deeper knowledge of the general operation of the instrument. For Chromeleon CDS, the initial development of third party instrument control was carried out by analyzing the control protocols of the instrument and then writing an instrument control “driver” in a Chromeleon CDS compatible control language – a “native driver”.

Even when cooperation was established between the parties, communication between the CDS group writing the instrument control and the third party supplying the control codes was often slow and tedious. This was because most of the CDS suppliers were also direct competitors as instrument manufacturers. Furthermore, it would often be the same CDS group developing drivers for both their own in-house instruments and third party instruments from competing suppliers, which could lead to priority conflicts and delayed availability of the control codes for the third party instrumentation.

The second challenge was the increasing complexity and growing number of instrument configurations. With each introduction of a new instrument came new technology and features along with the market demand to be able to control these instruments and new features from their chosen CDS. This increased the complexity and hence the effort required for programming third party instrument control.

In the mid-2000s, with the introduction of UHPLC (Ultra High Performance Liquid Chromatography) as an extension of the traditional HPLC, the demands for instrument control became even more sophisticated. More functionality, such as method translation from traditional to UHPLC methods, moved into the instrument controlling driver. Recreating this complex functionality was time and resource consuming and could lead to significant delays between instrument release from the third party hardware manufacturer and availability of control of these instruments in the CDS. In parallel the number of third party instruments to be controlled grew substantially – some large manufacturers having more than one hundred (HPLC and UHPLC) modules in active distribution.

Third Party Instrument “Printer Driver” Concept – from Native Instrument Drivers to Plugin Instrument Drivers

Addressing these challenges triggered a change in the concept of third party instrument control. The key instrument suppliers started to explore ways to provide their instruments with a la carte drivers to easily and quickly plug into a third party CDS. A “printer driver” concept for control of chromatographic instruments could provide the instrument control drivers directly from the instrument suppliers and would deliver them in a fashion that allowed easy integration to third party CDS.

This provided the obvious benefits of a more efficient development process – instead of each CDS company writing a driver for the same third party instrument, now only one driver had to be written (by the instrument manufacturer) for all CDS, thus expediting control of new instruments in third party data systems. To make this approach work it was required that the instrument supplier developed and maintained driver architecture that not only provided the actual instrument control but also a standardized framework that provided the plugin and necessary adaptations to be used in a third party data system.

However, not all instrument suppliers can or want to provide such an infrastructure. Smaller or hardware-focused suppliers quite often just need a simple way to bring digital control of their instruments into a third party CDS.

Chromeleon CDS Provides the Ultimate Third Party Driver Solution

Chromeleon CDS provides the ultimate solution for third party instrument control with both the “printer driver” plugin concept and a Driver Development Kit (DDK) approach to address the needs of the smaller or more hardware-focused manufacturers.

Integrated within Chromeleon CDS are the wrapped driver plugin solutions provided by leading instrument manufacturers including the Agilent Instrument Control Framework (ICF) and the Waters Instrument Control Software (ICS). This ensures fast, comprehensive support for all instruments and functions released to these plugins.

The Chromeleon CDS DDK provides driver developers and instrument vendors with a straightforward approach to implementing instrument driver, configuration, and editor plugins for Chromeleon CDS. All new drivers implemented by Thermo Scientific use the DDK. It is designed for cross-version compatibility – write one driver and it can usually be used with all newer versions of Chromeleon CDS without the need for further development. There is very little ‘must have’ code to be included so it is very quick and easy to learn how to implement instrument drivers - the basic driver framework can be created in a matter of hours rather than the days or weeks it would take for a native driver. This considerably speeds up driver development.




Figure 4. Chromeleon DDK plugins simplify driver creation.

The quality of all drivers is assured by certification and validation procedures. All new drivers must meet the requirements of the certification process and pass a series of validation tests before acceptance for inclusion in Chromeleon CDS.

The DDK in Chromeleon CDS is actively supported with a comprehensive set of documents (including a driver ‘cook book’ with example code and the certification process), programmer training courses, and support with programming to enable third party companies to develop drivers directly in a Chromeleon-compatible format. For suppliers who do not wish to create their own drivers there are certified Chromeleon DDK development partners to quickly develop Chromeleon CDS DDK drivers.

What Else Is Needed to Make Third Party Instrument Control Successful?

In order to maintain high quality drivers that are released in a timely manner, especially for new instruments, it is important that the instrument vendor and CDS vendor establish regular meetings to share technical knowledge, roadmaps for upcoming releases and to discuss and resolve technical issues. This requires commitment from both parties and regular on-going maintenance and improvements of the wrapped driver package and its integration into the CDS alongside maintenance and improvements, in the case of Chromeleon CDS, of the DDK.

Summary

Chromeleon CDS pioneered third party instrument control and has continued to be the market leader to a point where Chromeleon CDS is now synonymous with multi-vendor control and has made this a ‘must have’ feature of any CDS. With 20 years of development experience, Chromeleon CDS offers all the tools to instrument suppliers who may be interested in third party instrument control. Chromeleon CDS is the most future-proofed, enterprise-ready, multi-vendor CDS on the market.

www.thermofisher.com/chromeleon

©2016 Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. All rights reserved. All trademarks are the property of Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. and its subsidiaries. This information is presented as an example of the capabilities of Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. products. It is not intended to encourage use of these products in any manners that might infringe the intellectual property rights of others. Specifications, terms and pricing are subject to change. Not all products are available in all countries. Please consult your local sales representative for details.

Australia +61 3 9757 4300

Austria +43 810 282 206

Belgium +32 53 73 42 41

Brazil +55 11 3731 5140

Canada +1 800 530 8447

China 800 810 5118 (free call domestic)
400 650 5118

Denmark +45 70 23 62 60

Finland +358 9 3291 0200

France +33 1 60 92 48 00

Germany +49 6103 408 1014

India +91 22 6742 9494

Italy +39 02 950 591

Japan +81 6 6885 1213

Korea +82 2 3420 8600

Latin America +1 561 688 8700

Netherlands +31 76 579 55 55

New Zealand +64 9 980 6700

Norway +46 8 556 468 00

Singapore +65 6289 1190

Sweden +46 8 556 468 00

Switzerland +41 61 716 77 00

Taiwan +886 2 8751 6655

UK/Ireland +44 1442 233555

USA +1 800 532 4752

WP70885_E 07/16S

Thermo
SCIENTIFIC

Part of Thermo Fisher Scientific